

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The remand being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 20

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte RANDAL LEE BERTMAN,
DAVID FREDERICK CHAMPION, and
PETER JAMES BRITTENHAM

MAILED

MAR 27 2002

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Appeal No. 2002-0307
Application No. 09/143,967

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

An Information Disclosure Statement was filed on November 10, 2002 (Paper No. 19). The application is being returned to the examiner for consideration of the statement submitted and notification to the applicants to indicate if their submission meet the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98.

Accordingly, it is


ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner for such consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement and for such further action as may be appropriate.

Appeal No. 2002-0307
Application No. 09/143,967

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

BY:



DALE SHAW
Program and Resource Administrator
(703) 308-9797

Appeal No. 2002-0307
Application No. 09/143,967

Winstead, Sechrest & Minick P.C.
5400 Renaissance Tower
1201 Elm Street
Dallas, TX 75270-2199